UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT CHARLESTON

LEAH	ROYCE	HINES
------	-------	-------

-	~						_	-	
Ρ	- 1	_	•	~	-	-	-	1900	
	1	~	. 1	1 1	1 .	1	- 1	1	_
_	-	-	_	-	_	Medium	-	-	•

v.

Civil Action No. 2:04-0690

WYETH, d/b/a Wyeth, Inc.; WYETH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and PHARMACIA & UPJOHN COMPANY,

Defendants.

VERDICT FORM

1.	Has Mrs. Hines proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the drugs Premarin and Prempro (manufactured by defendant Wyeth) and the drug Provera (manufactured by defendant Upjohn) proximately caused her breast cancer?
	YES NO
<u>If</u>	you answered "NO", proceed to Question 9, sign this form where
	indicated, and inform the
	Court Security Officer you have a verdict.
	If you answered "YES", please proceed.
	prease proceed.
2.	Has Mrs. Hines proven, by a preponderance of the evidence,
	her claim for failure to warn as to defendant Wyeth?
	nor craim for raffare to warm as to defendant wyeth?
	YES
3.	Has Mrs. Hines proven, by a preponderance of the evidence,
	her claim for failure to warn as to defendant Upjohn?
	101 larrare to warm as to defendant upjonn?
	YES
	100

4.	Has Mrs. Hines proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, her claim for breach of the implied warranty of merchantability as to defendant Wyeth?
	YES NO
5.	Has Mrs. Hines proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, her claim for breach of the implied warranty of merchantability as to defendant Upjohn?
	YES NO
6.	Has Mrs. Hines proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, her claim for design defect as to defendant Wyeth?
	YES NO
7.	Has Mrs. Hines proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, her claim for design defect as to defendant Upjohn?
	YES NO
	If you answered "YES" to any of Questions 2 through 7, proceed to Question 8. If you answered "NO" to all of Questions 2 through 7, proceed to Question 9, sign this form where indicated, and inform the Court Security Officer you have a verdict.
8.	What amount of money, if any, would fairly and reasonably compensate Mrs. Hines for the damages caused by the defendants' conduct?
	\$
9.	Have defendants proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Mrs. Hines knew, or by the exercise of reasonable diligence should have known, on or before July 5, 2002, that Premarin, Prempro, and Provera had a causal relationship to her breast cancer?
	YESNO

We the jury do, by a preponderance of the evidence, unanimously agree upon the foregoing verdict.

Foreperson

Date